## Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Dpdated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental								
LRB Number <b>07-2802/2</b>	Introduction Number SB-209								
<b>Description</b> Annual or consecutive month permits for vehicles or combinations of vehicles transporting loads near the Wisconsin-Michigan border									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues  Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget  Pyes  Decrease Costs								
Local:  ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ 1.☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ School ☐ WTCS ☐ Districts ☐ Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☑ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.395(3)									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date								
DOT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830	Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 6/13/2007								

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 6/13/2007

LRB Number 07-2802/2	Introduction Number	SB-209	Estimate Type	Original					
<b>Description</b> Annual or consecutive month permits for vehicles or combinations of vehicles transporting loads near the Wisconsin-Michigan border									

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill requires the Department to prepare a detailed report on the proposed vehicle weight limit exception. The statutes grant the Department up to six weeks to prepare the report, which must be printed as an appendix to the bill. The statutes require the report to be distributed before any vote is taken on the bill by either house of the legislature if the bill is not referred to a standing committee, or before any public hearing is held before any standing committee or, if no public hearing is held, before any vote is taken by the committee. This bill was introduced on June 11, 2007, and noticed for hearing on June 12, 2007. The Department has not completed the report required under s. 13.096 of the statutes.

Under current law, trucks transporting wood chips or forestry biomass are subject to an overall maximum gross vehicle weight limitation of 98,000 pounds. This bill raises the gross weight limitation by 63%, to 154,000 pounds. The increased vehicle weight limits will increase wear on the highways traveled, but the Department cannot quantify this additional wear. The additional weight will have an increased impact on the highway system, but that impact is not quantified. This estimate assumes that the department will study the route identified to reach conclusions as to the impacts of the exception and the specific axle weights resulting from the configurations allowed under Michigan provisions. No estimate of additional costs to preserve and maintain the infrastructure, or to improve the road are included in this fiscal estimate.

It is assumed that the number of Michigan Border permits issued would increase by about 67 twelve month permits. Therefore, this would add 67 permits at an annual fee of \$425 each, or \$28,475 total.

The Department would conduct field evaluations and technical analysis to identify the impacts of this provision on the specified route. This information would be used as a foundation for understanding the interrelationships of the public costs of infrastructure impacts and the economic benefits for serving the needs of this industry, the region of the state, and perhaps more broadly related to state wide regulatory issues. The Department believes this evaluation will require 160 hours of staff effort. The effort would be accomplished in house with existing resources. The cost is estimated at \$95 per hour, covering an estimate of wages, benefits, and other costs for travel and report preparation, or a total of \$15,200.

Impacts on local governments are permissive. Local governments will need to consider impacts of those increased loads on their local systems prior to authorizing those loads on their systems.

This bill also enlarges by more than 22 miles the distance over USH 2 that trucks weighing 154,000 pounds (the maximum weight permissible under Michigan law) transporting forest products, wood chips or forestry biomass may travel. Under current law, 154,000-pound trucks transporting forest products may travel on USH 2 only through Iron County and Ashland County. The additional distance will cross four bridges. Two of the bridges have a maximum weight rating of 250,000 pounds; two have maximum weight ratings of 240,000 pounds. Raising the weight limit from 98,000 pounds to up to 154,000 pounds will speed deterioration of this portion of USH 2 and the four affected bridges, although the Department cannot readily estimate the cost of this increased wear.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Long-range fiscal implications are contingent on the findings of the evaluation. Based on current knowledge, the Department expects increased vehicle weights will require some degree of greater maintenance and improvement costs for highway infrastructure. Presuming those added costs are confirmed by the evaluation, would require establishing a method to assess and recover those costs if the economics support some longer term change in weight allowances and permit fees.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	<b>X</b> (	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LP	.RB Number 07-2802/2 Introduction Number SB-209								SB-209
Anr	<b>script</b> i nual or Wisco	r consecut	tive month pe higan border	ermits for veh	nicles o	r comb	inations of vehi	cles trans	porting loads near
anr	nualiz	ed fiscal o	effect):				Local Governo	·	
		lized Cos			$\Box$				act on funds from:
							Increased Cost		Decreased Costs
Α. 5	State (	Costs by	Category						
S	State C	perations)	s - Salaries ar	nd Fringes		\$15,200			\$
(1	FTE P	osition Ch	nanges)						
S	state C	perations	s - Other Cost	:s					
L	ocal A	Assistance	,						
A	ids to	Individual	ls or Organiza	ations					
Ш	ТОТ	AL State	Costs by Ca	tegory		\$15,200			\$
В. 9	State (	Costs by	Source of Fu	unds					
G	PR								
F	ED	, r.							
	RO/P								
S	EG/SI	EG-S				15,200			
III. S	State l enues	Revenues (e.g., tax	s - Complete k increase, de	this only w ecrease in l	hen pr icense	oposal fee, et	will increase (	or decrea	se state
						-	Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
_	PR T						\$		\$
_	iPR E	arned						ļ	
	ED (D)								
_	RO/PI						20.477		
+	EG/SI					<b>***</b>	28,475	<del>-</del>	
	101/	AL State I	Revenues				\$28,475	5	\$
***************************************				NET ANNUA	ALIZED	FISCA		1	
NICT		NOE IN C	·OCTC						Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			$\dashv$	\$15,200			\$		
INE	СПА	INGE IN I	EVENUE			P	\$28,475		\$
Agency/Prepared By Auti					Autho	thorized Signature			Date
DOT	Γ/ Rich	nard Moss	s (608) 267-78	330	Julie J	Johnsor	n (608) 267-370	3	6/13/2007